
A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ICTHYOFAUNA IN AMBAZARI AND GANDHISAGAR LAKES OF NAGPUR, CENTRAL INDIA

¹S. S. Lonkar and ²R. V. Tijare

¹Tai Golwalkar Mahavidyalaya, Ramtek, India

²Govt. Institute of Science Nagpur, India

E Mail: lonkar85@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present study carried at two lakes of Nagpur City in Central India from July 2010 to Jun 2012 confirmed ichthyofaunal diversity of 19 species belonging to 7 different orders, viz Cypriniformes, Paraformes, Singuilliformes, Clupeiformes, Mastacembaliformes, synganthiformes and Ophiocephalliformes. Presence of carps like *Catla-catla*, *Labeorohita*, *Cirrihinamrigala* and Silver carp showed good productive grounds for fish culture practice in two lakes.

Keywords: Nagpur, Ambazarilake, Gandhisagar Lake, Ichthyofauna.

INTRODUCTION

Fish farming is one of the ancient practices carried out since early period. Fish is a valuable source of protein and occupies a significant position in the socio-economical fabric of South Asian countries. For effective exploitation of any aquatic ecosystem basic information on its biodiversity is a must, thus there is a need to survey fish fauna associated with different freshwater habitats which will help in planning methods for their effective exploitation for fish production.

Many workers have studied taxonomy, bio-diversity and distribution of fishes found in various parts of Indian subcontinent provided that there is a need for the survey of bio diversity of fishes in different types of habitats all over the country. Jayaram (1981) studied fish diversity of Indian subcontinent. Yazdani (1994) reported Ichthyofauna from Krishna, Cauveri and Ganga river. In State of Maharashtra, ichthyofaunal diversity was studied by Ahirrao and Mane (2000), Sakhare & Joshi (2003), Yadaw (2003), Yadaw (2006), Rathod et. al. (2008), Tijare and Thosar (2008) and Harney et al.

(2009). However, very less information is available about ichthyofauna present in lentic and lotic habitat of Nagpur district. Present study aims to document the fish fauna of two lakes of Nagpur city in Central India.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was carried out in two years from June 2010 to July 2012. After netting the fishes, photographs were taken and the specimens were preserved in 10% formalin after giving abdominal cut and brought to laboratory for identification. For identification of fishes standard keys of Days (1878), Jayaram (1981) and Talwar and Jhigran (1991) were followed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During the present investigation a total of 19 fish species belonging to 7 different orders were recorded from all the two lakes. Ambazari Lake showed 16 species, however 12 species were recorded from Gandhisagar Lake. Sharma et. al (2011) observed 15 fish species in Pinhole lake of Rajasthan.

Table 1. Ichthyofaunal diversity of two lakes in Nagpur (+ Present:-Absent)

Sr. No.	Common Names	Scientific name	Order	Ambazari Lake	Gandhi-sagar Lake
1	Grass carp	<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	Cypriniformes	+	+
2	Silver carp	<i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i>	Cypriniformes	+	+
3	Catla	<i>Catla catla</i>	Cypriniformes	+	+
4	Rohu	<i>Labeo rohita</i>	Cypriniformes	+	+
5	Common carp	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Cypriniformes	+	+
6	Mrigal carp	<i>Cirrhinamrigala</i>	Cypriniformes	—	+
7	Walking catfish	<i>Clarias batracus</i>	Cypriniformes	+	—
8	Stinging catfish	<i>Heteropneustes fossilis</i>	Cypriniformes	—	+
9	Barbel	<i>Barbus</i> sp.	Cypriniformes	+	—
10	Mully catfish	<i>Wallago attu</i>	Cypriniformes	+	—
11	Common snake headed	<i>Channa striatus</i>	Ophiocephaliformes	+	+
12	Spotted snake headed	<i>Channa punctatus</i>	Ophiocephaliformes	+	—
13	Bulls eye snake headed	<i>Channa nama</i>	Paraformes	+	—
14	Bullseye goby	<i>Glossogobius aureus</i>	Paraformes	+	+
15	Giant river catfish	<i>Mystus seenghala</i>	Singuliformes	+	+
16	Shrptooth catfish	<i>Clarius garipinnus</i>	Singuliformes	+	—
17	Clown knife fish	<i>Notopterus chitala</i>	Clupeiformes	—	+
18	Tire track eel	<i>Mastacembelus armatus</i>	Mastacembeliformes	+	—
19	Mosabbi que Tilapia	<i>Tilapia</i> sp.	Syngnathiformis	+	+



GRASS CARP



SILVER CARP



CATLA CATLA



ROHU



MRIGAL



WALKING CAT FISH



SNAKE HEADED FISH



CLOWN KNIFE FISH

Figure 1. Ichthyofaunal diversity (photographs of some important species of fishes) of two lakes in Nagpur

Ten species of order Cypriniformes viz. *Ctenopharyngodonidella* (Grass carp), *Hypophthalmichthysmolitrix* (Silver carp), *Catlacatla* (Catla), *Labeorohita* (Rohu), Cyprinids' carpio (Common carp), *Cirrihinamrigala* (Mrigal carp), *Clariasbatracus* (Walking cat fish), *Heteropneustusfossilis* (Stinging cat fish), *Barbus sp.*(Barbel)and *Wallagoattu* (Mully cat fish) dominated the lakes. Similar findings were also observed by Shinde et.al. (2009) in Harsool- Savangi dam. The Cypriniformes are an order of ray-finned fish including the carps and minnows and are most diverse insoutheastern Asia (Nelson 2006). Cypriniform species are extremelyvariable morphologically and ecologically. The latter is evident from their wide distribution that includes virtually every type of freshwater habitat and an amazing diversity of reproductive and life- history strategies (Winfield &Nelson ,1991 and MacDonald 2008), Order Cypriniformes was followed by Ophiocephaliformes, Paraformes and Singuiliformes with 2 species while Clupeiformes, Mastacembaliforms, syngnathiformes represented only 1 species respectively. Presence of carps like *Catlacatla*, *Labeorohita*, *Cirrhinamrigala* and *Hypophthalmichthysmolitrix* shows good productive grounds for fish culture practise in lakes. Presence of *Wallagoattu*, *Mystusseenghala*and *Clariusgarripinnus* as cat fishes also provides embossing of healthy ecosystem in these lakes. Abundance is due to the easy availability of protein rich invertebrates and other food such as macrophytes,macrobenthic organisms and planktons. Every organism maintains specific relation with the environment in which it lives. These relations entail different environmental parameters eg. temperature, humidity, diet requirements etc. (Blair ,R.B. 2001) . The result of our survey highlight the fact that ichthyofauna in these lake is abundant which indicate the favourable condition for their survival.

However, Now-a-days these lakes are getting deteriorated by the activities of urban development, idol immersions, resultant stress and encroachments ultimately causing threat to fish fauna. Therefore, the conservation of these fragile ecosystems rich with diverse fish fauna is an essential and urgent task which can be achieved by reducing anthropogenic activities and introducing safe, environment friendly fish culture practice. The Municipal Corporation should also use the artificial tanks for Idol immersion and religious activities and local mass media should spread the awareness.

REFERENCES

- Ahirrao S.D. & Mane A.S. (2000) The diversity of Ichthyofauna taxonomy and fishes from freshwater bodies of parbhani district, Maharashtra state(I). J. Aqua. Biol. 15(1 &2):40-43.
- Blair ,R.B.(2001) Birds and butterflies along urban gradients in two eco-regions of the united states : Is urbanization a homogenous fauna ? In J.L.Lockwood and M.L.McKinney (Eds). Biotic homogenization : The loss of diversity through invasion and extinction (pp 33-56). New York : Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Days F.S. (1878) The fishes of India , William Dawson and Sons Ltd. London.Geographical Information on Nagpur city (2006) :National Informatics Centre, Nagpur Retrieved - 06-30.
- Harney N.V., Dhamani A.A., Andrew R.J. (2009) Studies on Ichthyofaunal Diversity of three water bodies Near Bhadrawati, Distt – ChandrapurM.S. (I) Hislopi Journal (2/2) 2009: 151-157.
- Jayaram, K.C. (1981)The freshwater fishes of India, Pakistan, Burma and Sri Lanka.Handkook of Zoological Survey of India, No. 2 XII + 475 PP.
- MacDonald, M.(2008) Loaches: Natural History and Aquarium Care (Hardcover). TFHpublications, LTD.MacDonald.

- Nelson, Joseph S. (2006) Fishes of the World. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ISBN 0-471-25031-7
- Rathod, S.D., Malu R.A., Dhabade D.S., Patil P.S., Charjan A.P. & Wanjari H.V. (2008) Diversity of fish fauna of Umra reservoir, washim dist. Maharashtra, J. Aqua. Biol.23(2):26-28.
- Sakhare V.B. & Joshi P.K. (2003) Reservoir fishery potential of Parbhani district of Maharashtra, Fishing Climes 23(5):13-16.
- Sharma R. Sharma V.SharmaM.S.VermaB.K.ModiR.and Gaur K.S.(2011) Studies on Limnological Characteristic, Planktonic Diversity and Fishes (Species) in Lake Pichhola, Udaipur, Rajasthan (India). Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology,1(3): 274-285 .
- Shinde S.E., T.S.Pathan, R.Y.Bhandare and D.L.Sonawane (2009) Ichthyofaunal diversity of Harsool-Savangi dam, Dist.Aurangabad (M.S.) India. World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences 1(3):141-143.
- Talwar P.K. and Jhingran A.G. (1991) Inland fishes of India and adjacent countries Vol 1 and 2. Oxford and IBH Pub Co. Ltd.
- Tijare, R.V. and Thosar M.R. (2008) Ichthyofaunal study from the lakes of Gadchiroli Distt. Maharashtra (I) J. Aqua. Biol. Vol.23(2), 2008:29-31.
- Winfield, I.J. and J.S. Nelson (1991) Cyprinid fishes: systematics, biology and exploitation. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Yadaw B.E. (2003) Ichthyofauna of Northern part of Westemghat, Rec Zoological Survey Of India, OCC paper 215:1-40.
- Yadaw B.E. (2006) Pisces fauna of Tadoba Andhari Tiger Project conservation Area Sec. 25:137-160.
- Yazdani G.M. (1994) Biodiversity of fishes of river Ganga, Report Zoological Survey Of India:72.